IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE TWENTY FIFTH DAY OF NOVEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE GHULAM MOHAMMED

WRIT PETITION NO : 22256 of 1994

Between:

1 M. Giri Prasad, S/o M. Venkata Muni Reddy,
S.V. Canteen Compelx, TTD's
Tirumala, R/o Tirupathi.
2 M. Madhusudan Reddy, s/o Munikrishna Reddy,
S.V. Canteen Compelx, TTD's
Tirumala, R/o Tirupathi.
3 K.Siva Sankar Reddy, S/o Rami Reddy,
S.V. Canteen Compelx, TTD's
Tirumala, R/o Tirupathi.
4 K. Bhagavan, S/o Subramanyam Raju,
S.V. Canteen Compelx, TTD's
Tirumala, R/o Tirupathi.
5 A. Balasubramanyam, S/o Obula Chetty,
S.V. Canteen Compelx, TTD's
Tirumala, R/o Tirupathi.

....PETITIONERS

AND

1 The Executive Officer,
Tirumala Tirupathi Devasthanams, Tirupathi,
Chittoor dist.
2 The Asst. Execuitve Officer (Canteen)Special Officer,
S.V. Canteen Complex, Tirumala Tirupathi Devasthanams, Tirumala.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue a writ, order or direction particularly one in the nature of writ of Mandamus, declaring the in action of the First respondent in absorbing the

petitioners in TTD service as arbitrary, discriminatory and violative of Articles 14 & 16 of he Constitution of India and consequently direct the first respondent to absorb the Petitioners as Boiler Attendants in the existing Vacancies in TTD's service held by them in terms of G.O.Ms No.391 Revenue (endowments III) Departments dated 5.5.91 with retrospective effect from 1.5.91 with all consequential benefits.

Counsel for the Petitioner:MR.V.JAGAPATHI

Counsel for the Respondents: MR.A.K.JAYAPRAKASH RAO

The Court made the following :

ORDER:

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners.

The petitioners seek a direction to the respondents to absorb them in Tirumala Tirupati Devastanam service as Boiler Attendants, in pursuance of G.O. Ms. No.391, dated 06-05-1991.

This Court by its order dated 08.02.1995 in W.P.M.P.No.27738 of 1995 directed the petitioners to make a representation by giving their service particulars and also the service particulars of their juniors who were alleged to have appointed by the respondents, ignoring the preferential claims and on receipt of such representations, the respondents were directed to consider the same and pass appropriate orders within a period of three months.

Pursuant to the interim directions of this Court, it is stated, the respondents passed orders on 14.06.1995, stating that the petitioners have not filed any representations as directed by this Court, and however, their cases have been considered in the light of G.O. Ms. No.391, dated 06-05-1991. It is stated that no persons who are junior to the petitioners are in the service of TTD, and therefore, the petitioners are not eligible for appointment in TTD, and more so

when their names were not sponsored through Employment Exchange.

Having regard to the fact that the case of the petitioners was considered in the light of G.O. Ms. No.391, dated
06-05-1991, pursuant to the interim orders of this Court, dated 08-02-1995 in W.P.M.P. No.27738 of 1995, no further orders requires to be passed in this writ petition.

Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed. No costs.

25.11.2004

MRKR/KK

To

1 The Executive Officer,
Tirumala Tirupathi Devasthanams, Tirupathi,
Chittoor dist.

2 The Asst. Execuitve Officer (CAnteen)Special Officer,
S.V. Canteen Complex, Tirumala Tirupathi Devasthanams, Tirumala.

3. Two C.D.copies.